1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		ACTED ACT. COLUMN	
8			
9	AT TAC	OMA	
10	DAMIEN HARRIS,	CASE NO. C12 6000 DDI. VI S	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C12-6008 RBL-KLS ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S	
12	v.	MOTION TO COTINUE THE STAY IN THIS ACTION AND LIFTING	
13	STATE OF WASHINGTON, ROB MCKEENA, BENARD WARNER,	THE STAY	
14	MICHAEL BOONE, SCOTT JACKSON, THURSTON COUNTY, THURSTON		
15	COUNTY NARCORTICS TASK FORCE, CITY OF LACEY, DUSTY		
16	PIERPOINT, KENNETH LUNDQUIST, LACEY POLICE DEPARTMENT,		
17	LORELI THOMPSON,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	This civil rights matter has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge Karen L.		
21	Strombom pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules MJR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72.		
22	The case is before the undersigned on Plaintiff's motion to continue the stay entered in this		
23	action on February 27, 2013. Dkt. 31. At the time the stay was entered this action had been		
24	"" removed from state court and triff frames had a personal restraint pention penting that enumerized		
	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO		

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COTINUE THE STAY IN THIS ACTION AND LIFTING THE STAY- 1

the propriety of several search warrants. Thus the facts in this civil rights action were 2 intertwined with the criminal matter. See Dkt. 17 (order granting stay). Defendants stated that 3 they did not object to the motion to stay the action. Dkt. 16. 4 One year after the stay was entered the undersigned ordered Mr. Harris to update the file 5 and explain the status of the action, (Dkt. 29), and Mr. Harris responded and has also filed a motion asking that the stay be continued. Dkt. 30 and 31. Mr. Harris informs the Court that his 6 7 personal restraint petition has been denied and he plans to file a federal petition for a writ of habeas corpus that challenges the issuing of search warrants. Dkt. 31. 8 9 Now that the state criminal collateral challenge has concluded, the undersigned does not find good reason for continuing the stay in this action. Plaintiff faces no statute of limitations 10 11 barrier to re-filing his civil rights action if it is dismissed without prejudice because his claim 12 will not accrue unless and until Mr. Harris receives relief through habeas corpus. See Heck v. 13 Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 489 (1994). The Supreme Court stated: 14 Under our analysis the statute of limitations poses no difficulty while the state challenges are being pursued, since the § 1983 claim has not yet arisen. . . . [A] § 1983 cause of action for damages attributable to an unconstitutional conviction 15 or sentence does not accrue until the conviction or sentence has been invalidated. Id. at 489. 16 17 The undersigned **denies** Mr. Harris' motion to continue the stay and **lifts the stay** in this 18 case. Procedurally, Mr. Harris filed an amended complaint on February 27, 2014. Dkt. 18. The 19 undersigned will give Defendants who have been appeared until August 1, 2014, to file an 20 answer or other appropriate pleading. 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 //

1	The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.
2	Dated this 5 th day of June, 2014.
3	,
4	teen Latinkom
5	Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	